

## Case Study - ON-2 vs. UK-100-80

## Farm Descriptions

ON-2 is a cow-calf operation located in the Lake Simcoe-Rideau region of Ontario, Canada. This operation keeps Angus animals, and maintains a beef cow herd of 100 head. The farm is situated on 384 ac , with sandy clay loam soils. Mean annual temperature is $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and mean annual precipitation is 923 mm , distributed across all seasons.

UK-100-80 is a cow-calf and finishing operation in Yorkshire, England. A beef cow herd of 100 head maintains this herd of Continental cross animals. The cow-calf operation is situated on 170 ac of clay loam soils, and experiences a mean annual temperature of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and mean annual precipitation of 600 mm distributed across all seasons.


## Production System and Physical Performance Indicators

## Similarities

Comparison of ON-2 and UK-100-80 was chosen as these are two medium sized herds, with similar soil type and climatic conditions. This is important for feed/forage production, where these two farms rely primarily on homegrown feeds.

## Cow Performance and Weaning

Cows on ON-2 are heavier at maturity (1,200 lb) than on UK-100-80 ( $1,158 \mathrm{lb}$ ). ON-2 also weans calves approximately two weeks earlier, and lighter ( 557 lb ) than on UK-100-80 $(606 \mathrm{lb})$, resulting in weaning weights as a lower percentage of mature cow weight (46\%) than on UK-100-80 (52\%). However, 200 day adjusted weaning weights are similar between the two farms ( 571 lb and 578 lb on ON-2 and UK$\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{- 8 0}$, respectively). ON-2 calves in two calving groups.

Calf death loss is considerably higher on ON-2 (9.2\%) than on UK-10080 (1.0\%), which may be related to differences in predation between the two countries. Despite this, both farms wean a comparable number of calves per 100 cows. This is related to a slightly higher calving percentage on ON-2 (92\%) as compared to UK-100-80 (88\%). This may explain the higher replacement rate on UK-100-80.

Cattle Sales and Prices

|  | ON-2 | UK-100-80 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Beef cows (hd) | 160 | 100 |
| Breeds | Angus | Continental |
| Mature cow weight (lb) | 1,200 | 1,158 |
| Weaning age (d) | 195 | 210 |
| Weaning weight (lb) | 557 | 606 |
| 200 day adjusted weaning weight (lb) | 571 | 578 |
| Weaning weight as \% mature cow weight | $46 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Calf death loss | $9.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Calves weaned per 100 cows (hd) | $13.8 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ |
| Replacement rate (\%) | 1,097 | 1,044 |
| Price per head for weaners sold (\$/hd) | 557 | 60 |
| Sale weight (lb) | $16 \%$ | 606 |
| Feed purchased (\% as-is) | Cow-calf, crop | Cow-calf, <br> retained |
| Income sources |  | ownership |

ON-2 sells weaned calves to finish, whereas ON-100-80 retains weaned calves to finish. As weaners, sale price is comparable, at $\$ 1,097 / \mathrm{hd}$ on ON-2, and \$1,044 on UK-100-80, despite the lower weaning weights on ON-2.

Feed
Both farms rely primarily on homegrown feeds, with $16 \%$ and $0 \%$ of feedstuffs purchased on ON-2 and UK-100-80, respectively. Winter diets for cows on ON-2 are hay-based, supplemented with corn distiller's grains and mineral. On UK-100-80, wintering diets consist of grass hay/silage and mineral. Cows are confined only at calving on ON-2; cows on UK-100-80 have access to a winter barn.

## Cow-calf Enterprise

## Cost and Profit

For comparison of costs and profits, a 5-year average (2016-2020) is used. Total production costs of the cow-calf enterprise (including cash cost, depreciation, and opportunity cost) on ON-2 averaged \$906/cow. On UK-100-80, total production costs averaged \$1,732/cow, 91\% larger than on ON-2.

Cash costs include purchased feed, cost of feed production including seed and fertilizer, land rent, wages, machine and building maintenance, interest on liabilities, veterinary and medicine costs, etc. On a per-cow basis, cash costs are over 1.5 times greater on UK-100-80, at $\$ 888 /$ cow, as compared to $\$ 570 /$ cow on ON-2. However, cash costs account for a larger proportion of total costs on ON-2 (63\%) than on UK-100-80 (51\%).

| Total costs of the cow-calf enterprise |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Costs (\$/cow) | ON-2 | UK-100-80 |
| Cash costs | 570 | 888 |
| Depreciation | 83 | 271 |
| Opportunity cost | 254 | 573 |
| Land | 98 | 141 |
| Labour | 130 | 418 |
| Capital | 27 | 15 |
| Total cost | 906 | 1,732 |
| Revenue | 932 | 1,146 |
| Short-term profit | 362 | 258 |
| Medium-term profit | 280 | -13 |
| Long-term profit | 26 | -586 |


$\square$ Opportunity cost

- Depreciation

■ Cash costs

- Revenue

Opportunity costs are calculated for unpaid family labour, owned land, and capital. These costs account for a similar share of total costs on both farms, at $28 \%$ of total costs on ON-2, and 33\% of total costs on UK-100-80. On both farms, the largest opportunity cost is that of labour ( $51 \%$ and $73 \%$ of opportunity costs on ON-2 and UK-100-80, respectively). This is associated with a reliance on unpaid family labour on both farms. Opportunity costs of land are also significant on both farms ( $38 \%$ and $25 \%$ of opportunity costs on ON-2 and UK-100-80, respectively). This represents the potential revenue gained from alternative uses of owned land, such as renting to neighbours.

Revenue from the cow-calf enterprise, including weaned calf and cull sales, was \$932/cow on ON-2. On UK$\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{- 8 0}$, average cow-calf revenue over the 5 -year period was $\$ 1,146 / \mathrm{cow}$. This is $23 \%$ larger than on ON-2, whereas total costs were $91 \%$ larger.

Both farms are able to cover short-term (cash costs). Short-term profits (revenue - cash costs) averaged $\$ 362 / c o w$ on ON-2, and $\$ 258 /$ cow on UK-100-80. ON-2 remains profitable in the medium-term, with average medium-term profits (revenue - cash and depreciation costs) of $\$ 280 /$ cow. This farm is also profitable in the long-term, with average long-term profits (revenue - cash, depreciation, and opportunity costs) of \$26/cow. In contrast, the cow-calf enterprise on UK-100-80 is unprofitable in both the medium- and long-terms. Average medium-term profits are $-\$ 13 /$ cow, and long-term profits $-\$ 586 /$ cow.

## Cost Structure

Total costs can be broken down as land, labour, capital, and non-factor costs. While percow costs are considerably higher on UK-100-80, cost structure, wherein these costs are expressed as a percentage of total farm costs, is remarkably similar between the two farms.

Land costs account for $14 \%$ of total costs on ON-2, and 16\% of total costs on UK-100-80. Land rent is considerably lower on ON-2; rented land is priced at \$134/ac (mostly cropland), and rent calculated for owned land is \$45/ac (mostly grassland). Meanwhile, both rented land and rents calculated for owned land on UK-100-80 are \$165/ac. The higher land rent, combined with smaller herd size, results in higher per-cow land costs on UK-100-80.

Labour costs account for $22 \%$ of total costs on ON-2, and a similar 24\% of total costs on UK-100-80. Total labour hours on both farms are similar (1,739 hr on ON-2, 1,847 hr on

| Costs (\$/cow) | ON-2 | UK-100-80 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total land cost | 128 | 281 |
| Total labour cost | 201 | 418 |
| Total capital cost | 57 | 16 |
| Non-factor costs | 521 | 1,016 |
| Animal purchases | 32 | 349 |
| Feed | 176 | 53 |
| Machinery | 79 | 239 |
| Fuel, energy, lubricants | 49 | 51 |
| Buildings | 51 | 129 |
| Vet \& medicine | 40 | 46 |
| Insurance, taxes | 40 | 22 |
| Other inputs | 55 | 127 |
| Total costs | 906 | 1,732 |

UK-100-80), with a reliance on unpaid family labour on both farms. Sixty-four percent of labour hours on ON-2 are unpaid family labour, at a calculated wage of $\$ 18.63 / \mathrm{hr}$. Paid labour accounts for the remaining $36 \%$ of labour hours, at a paid wage of $\$ 18.17 / \mathrm{hr}$. On UK-100-80, all labour hours are unpaid family labour, at a calculated wage of $\$ 22.63 / \mathrm{hr}$.

Capital costs are the smallest share of total costs on both farms, accounting for $6 \%$ of total costs on ON-2, and only 1\% on UK-100-80. The majority of capital costs on ON-2 is interest on liabilities, whereas on capital costs are primarily as own capital on UK-100-80.


Non-factor costs account for the largest proportion of total costs, accounting for $57 \%$ and $59 \%$ of total costs on ON-2 and UK-100-80, respectively. On ON-2, the most significant non-factor cost is feed costs, accounting for 33\% of non-factor and 19\% of total costs. This is primarily associated with purchased feed, but seed and fertilizer costs for homegrown feed production is also included. Meanwhile, feed costs on UK-100-80, which relies more heavily on homegrown feeds, are only $5 \%$ of non-factor and $3 \%$ of total costs. On UK-100-80, animal purchases are the most significant non-factor cost, accounting for $34 \%$ of non-factor and $20 \%$ of total costs. Other significant non-factor costs on both farms are machinery costs (15\% of non-factor costs on ON-2, 23\% of non-factor costs on UK-100-80) and building costs (10\% of non-factor costs on ON-2, 13\% of non-factor costs on UK-100-80).

## Whole Farm

## Other Farm Enterprises

In addition to the cow-calf operation, UK-100-80 runs an 84 head finishing operation. While there is no retained ownership enterprise on ON-2, there is additional revenue generated from additional farm activities.

## Cost and Profit

Total farm revenue on ON-2 averaged $\$ 167,110$ over the 5 -year period. The majority of farm revenue (89\%) is market revenue from the cow-calf enterprise. On UK-100-80, average farm revenue is $\$ 337,614$. On this farm, market revenue from the finishing enterprise is the largest revenue source ( $45 \%$ of total revenue), followed by the cowcalf enterprise (33\%), and government payments (22\%).

Total farm expenses on ON-2 averaged $\$ 111,825$. The cow-calf enterprise is the largest source of expenses, accounting for $36 \%$ of total expenses, followed by fixed costs (23\%), and wages, rent, and interest (22\%). Total expenses on UK-100-80 averaged $\$ 323,388$. As with costs, the most significant source of farm expenses is the finishing enterprise, accounting for $37 \%$ of total expenses. Depreciation is also a significant farm expense ( $20 \%$ of total), followed by the cow-calf enterprise, accounting for only $16 \%$ of total expenses on this farm.

| Whole-farm cost and profit |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Costs (\$) |  |  |
| Revenue | ON-2 | UK-100-80 |
| Market revenue | 149,223 | 264,545 |
| Cow-calf | 149,145 | 111,045 |
| Retained ownership | 0 | 153,500 |
| Crop production | 78 | 0 |
| Other farm revenue | 18,112 | 27 |
| Government payments | 0 | 73,042 |
| Change in inventory | -224 | 0 |
| Total farm revenue | 167,110 | 337,614 |
| Expenses |  |  |
| Depreciation | 14,866 | 64,531 |
| Fixed costs | 25,641 | 31,996 |
| Wages, rent, interest | 24,463 | 33,958 |
| Cow-calf | 40,553 | 51,688 |
| Retained ownership | 0 | 118,762 |
| Crop production | 6,302 | 22,453 |
| Total farm costs | 111,825 | 323,388 |
| Profits |  |  |
| Net income | 55,285 | 14,226 |
| Net cash farm income | 70,372 | 78,730 |

Both farms are able to maintain whole-farm profitability over the 5 -year term. On UK-100-80, the success of the finishing enterprise, as well as government payments, allow this farm to overcome the negative medium- and long-term profits of the cow-calf enterprise. ON-2 averaged a whole-farm net income of $\$ 55,285^{\text {a }}$, and net cash farm income of $\$ 70,372^{\text {b }}$. UK-100-80 had an average net income of $\$ 14,226^{\text {a }}$, and an average net cash farm income of $\$ 78,730^{\text {b }}$, over the 5 -year period.
${ }^{\text {a This }}$ is whole farm profitability, calculated as Market returns (+ coupled payments) (+ decoupled payments) - whole-farm costs $+/-$ changes in inventory $+/-$ capital gains/losses. Whole-farm costs include Direct costs enterprises, overhead costs, paid labour, paid rents, paid interest, depreciation
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Net cash farm income $=$ Whole farm profitability + depreciation + changes in inventory + capital gains/losses.

