

## Case Study - SK-1a vs. AU-350-150

## Farm Descriptions

SK-1a is a cow-calf through yearling grasser operation, also selling some bred heifers, located in Central Saskatchewan, Canada. Three hundred and fifty beef cows head this herd of Angus-Hereford crosses. The cow-calf enterprise is located on 3144 ac , and yearling grassers on 335 ac , with black chernozemic and gleysolic soils. Mean annual temperature is $1.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with 500 mm mean annual precipitation.

AU-350-150 is a cow-calf through finishing operation located in the Western District of Victoria, Australia. This farm maintains a 350 head cow herd, keeps Angus cattle, and operates on 678 ac with predominantly silty clay soils. The finishing operation is maintained on 2,104 ac. Mean annual temperature is $12.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and meal annual precipitation is 650 mm .


## Production System and Physical Performance Indicators

## Similarities

Comparison of SK-1a and AU-350-150 was chosen due to similarities in herd size, mean annual precipitation, retained ownership, and calves weaned per 100 cows. The most significant difference in production system is mean annual temperature, and the "tropical" climate experienced on AUS-350-150, influencing forage production.

## Cow Performance and Weaning

Mature cows are heavier on SK-1a. Calves are weaned 6 weeks of age earlier on AU-350-150, though at a heavier weaning weight, than SK1a. As such, there is a considerable difference in 200 day adjusted weaning weight, at 532 lb on SK-1a and 635 lb on AU-350-150. Mature cows are also lighter on AU-350-150, therefore weaning weights are a greater percentage of mature cow weight (44\%) as compared to SK-1a (37\%). Calf death loss, and calves weaned per 100 cows, are similar between the two operations. Both farms also maintain a relatively large replacement rate, at $17.5 \%$ and $21 \%$ for SK1a and AU-350-150, respectively.

## Cattle Sales and Prices

As weaners, sale prices are considerably different; calves are sold at an average of $\$ 1,010 /$ head from SK-1a, over $1.5 x$ the price of $\$ 643 /$ head from AU-350-150. Both SK-1a and AU-350-150 also sell retained animals. SK-1a sells 150 yearling grassers annually at 835 lb ,

|  | SK-1a | AU-350-150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beef cows (hd) | 350 | 350 |
| Breeds | Angus-Hereford crosses | Angus |
| Mature cow weight (lb) | 1200 | 1100 |
| Weaning age (d) | 195 | 153 |
| Weaning weight (lb) | 465 | 485 |
| 200 day adjusted weaning weight (lb) | 532 | 635 |
| Weaning weight as \% mature cow weight | 39 | 44 |
| Price per head for weaners sold (\$/hd) | 1010 | 643 |
| Calf death loss | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| Calves weaned per 100 cows (hd) | 87 | 89 |
| Replacement rate (\%) | 17.5 | 21 |
| Annual sales (hd) | 236 | 157 |
| Sale weight (lb) | 835 | 895 |
| Feed purchased (\% as-is) | 12 | 0 |
| Income sources | Cow-calf, yearling grasser, bred heifers | Cow-calf, finishing | and 86 bred heifers; and AU-350-150 sells 157 head finished animals at 895 lb .

## Feed

Both operations rely on primarily homegrown feed; twelve per cent of feed on SK-1a is purchased feed. Cows are housed and fed on pasture year-round on both farms. On AU-350-150, climatic conditions allow year-round grazing. On SK-1a, cows begin swath grazing in fall for 112 days, followed by 68 days of grazing standing corn, and 42 days of a hay-based ration.

## Cow-calf Enterprise

## Cost and Profit

For comparison of costs and profits, a 5-year average (2016-2020) is used. Total production costs of the cow-calf enterprise (including cash cost, depreciation, and opportunity cost) on SK-1a averaged $\$ 1,060 /$ cow from 2016-2020. This is more than twice the total cost of the cow-calf enterprise on AU-350-150, at \$485/cow.

Cash costs include purchased feed, costs of feed production including seed and fertilizer, land rent, wages, machine and building maintenance, interest on liabilities, veterinary and medicine costs, etc. Cash costs make up a considerably larger portion of total costs on SK-1a, at $67 \%$, than on AU- $\mathbf{3 5 0}-\mathbf{1 5 0}$, at only $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ of total costs.

| Total costs of the cow-calf enterprise |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Costs ( $\mathbf{\$} / \mathrm{cow}$ ) | SK-1a | AU- $\mathbf{3 5 0 - 1 5 0}$ |
| Cash costs | 712 | 126 |
| Depreciation | 60 | 26 |
| Opportunity cost | 288 | 334 |
| Land | 42 | 194 |
| Labour | 209 | 108 |
| Capital | 38 | 32 |
| Total cost | 1,060 | 485 |
| Revenue | 1,114 | 668 |
| Short-term profit | 402 | 542 |
| Medium-term profit | 342 | 516 |
| Long-term profit | 54 | 183 |

Opportunity costs are calculated for unpaid family labour, owned land, and capital. On SK-1a, opportunity costs for labour account for $73 \%$ of opportunity costs, and $20 \%$ of total costs. On AU-350-150, opportunity costs account for $69 \%$ of total costs. The largest proportion of opportunity cost is associated with land, accounting for $40 \%$ of total costs on this farm. Opportunity costs of labour make up a similar proportion of total costs as on SK-1a, at $22 \%$ of total costs.

Revenue from the cow-calf enterprise, including weaned calf and cull sales, was $\$ 1,114 /$ cow on SK-1a, and $\$ 668 /$ cow on AU-350-150. Where total costs were $\mathbf{2 2 0 \%}$ larger on $\mathbf{S K}$ - $\mathbf{1 a}$, revenue is $\mathbf{1 7 0 \%}$ larger, as compared to AU-350-150.

Both SK-1a and AU-350-150 were able to remain profitable in the short-, medium-, and long-term over the 5year period. Short-term profits (revenue - cash costs) averaged $\$ 402 / \mathrm{cow}$ and $\$ 542 / \mathrm{cow}$, medium-term profits (revenue - cash and depreciation costs) averaged $\$ 342 /$ cow and $\$ 516 / \mathrm{cow}$, and long-term profits (revenue - cash, depreciation, and opportunity costs) averaged \$54/cow and \$138/cow for SK-1a and AU-350-150, respectively.

## Cost Structure

Total costs can be broken down as land, labour, capital, and non-factor costs. Total labour, capital, and non-factor costs are higher on SK-1a, while total land costs are higher on AU-350-150, though these make up different proportions of total costs.

Land costs are the largest contributor to total costs on AU-350-150, accounting 40\% of total costs. This is compared to $14 \%$ of total costs associated with land costs on SK-1a. While AU-350-150 maintains a smaller land base (690 ac vs. 3143 ac ), and owns all land, per-acre land costs (\$98/ac) are approximately 6 times larger than for both rented ( $\$ 17 / \mathrm{ac}$ ) and owned (\$16/ac) land on SK-1a.

Labour costs make up a similar proportion of total costs on both farms, at $20 \%$ and $22 \%$ of total costs on SK-1a and AU-350-150, respectively. Both farms rely entirely on unpaid family labour, with comparable calculated wages of $\$ 22.11 / \mathrm{hr}$ on SK-1a and $\$ 22.05 / \mathrm{hr}$ on AU-350-150. Differences lie in total labour hours. These are 3,314 hr on SK-1a, and 1,709 hr on AU-350-150.

| Costs (\$/cow) | SK-1a | AU-350-150 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total land cost | 150 | 194 |
| Total labour cost | 209 | 108 |
| Total capital cost | 56 | 50 |
| Non-factor costs | 647 | 134 |
| Animal purchases | 13 | 14 |
| Feed | 326 | 31 |
| Machinery | 105 | 24 |
| Fuel, energy, lubricants | 35 | 14 |
| Buildings | 40 | 12 |
| Vet \& medicine | 22 | 8 |
| Insurance, taxes | 30 | 19 |
| Other inputs | 76 | 13 |
| Total costs | 1,060 | 485 |

Capital costs account for the smallest proportion of total costs, at 5\% and 10\% on SK-1a and AU-350-150, respectively. The majority of capital costs on both farms are own capital ( $\$ 38 /$ cow and $\$ 32 /$ cow, respectively), followed by interest on liabilities ( $\$ 18 /$ cow on both farms).

Non-factor costs are the largest component of total costs on SK-1a, accounting for 61\%, and the second-largest component on AU-350-150, accounting for $28 \%$ of total costs. Of these, costs associated with animal purchases, fuel, buildings, veterinary and medicine, insurance and taxes, and other inputs, make up similar, small (<5\%) proportions of total cost on both farms. Differences in non-factor costs lie primarily in feed and machinery costs. Feed costs account for $31 \%$ of total costs on SK-1a. These include costs associated with purchased feed ( $10 \%$ of total costs), costs associated with feed production such as fertilizer (9\%) and seed (6\%) costs, and land improvement (3\%). Feed costs account for only 6\% of total costs on AU-350-150, and are associated primarily with fertilizer and herbicide costs for feed production.


## Whole Farm

## Other Farm Enterprises

In addition to the cow-calf operation, SK-1a generates additional farm revenue from retained ownership of yearling grassers, and some bred heifers. AU-350-150 also retains ownership, operating a cow-calf through finishing operation.

## Cost and Profit

On both farms, a similar proportion of total farm revenue can be attributed to the cow-calf and retained ownership enterprises. On SK-1a, $64 \%$ of total farm revenue comes from cow-calf, and $36 \%$ from retained ownership. Total farm revenue averaged $\$ 605,425$ over the five-year period. On AU-350-150, 58\% of total revenue is attributed to cow-calf, and $42 \%$ to retained ownership, with an average total farm revenue of $\$ 404,313$.

In contrast, the retained ownership enterprise accounts for the largest proportion of total costs, accounting for 37\% of total costs on SK-1a and 55\% on AU-350-150. On SK-1a, other significant farm expenses are associated with fixed costs ( $21 \%$ of total costs), crop production (13\%), and the cow-calf enterprise (12\%). On AU-350-150, fixed costs ( $15 \%$ of total costs) and crop production (12\%) are also significant sources of expenses, whereas the cow-calf enterprise only accounts for $5 \%$ of total costs.

In addition to a profitable cow-calf enterprise, both farms maintain positive average whole-farm profits over the 5 -year period. SK-1a averaged a net income of

| Whole-farm cost and profit Costs (\$) | SK-1a | AU-350-150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue |  |  |
| Market revenue | 608,117 | 403,775 |
| Cow-calf | 389,999 | 233,716 |
| Retained ownership | 218,118 | 170,060 |
| Cash crop | 0 | 0 |
| Other farm revenue | 1,056 | 538 |
| Government payments | 0 | 0 |
| Change in inventory | -3,748 | 0 |
| Total farm revenue | 605,425 | 404,313 |
| Expenses |  |  |
| Depreciation | 32,732 | 15,441 |
| Fixed costs | 107,991 | 30,212 |
| Wages, rent, interest | 51,894 | 10,703 |
| Cow-calf | 63,554 | 9,280 |
| Retained ownership | 191,743 | 108,975 |
| Crop production | 68,966 | 23,492 |
| Total farm costs | 516,880 | 198,103 |
| Profits |  |  |
| Net income | 88,545 | 206,210 |
| Net cash farm income | 125,019 | 221,113 | $\$ 88,458^{\mathrm{a}}$, and net cash farm income of $\$ 125,019^{\mathrm{b}}$. AU-350-150 averaged a net income of $\$ 206,210^{\mathrm{a}}$, and a net farm cash income of $\$ 221,113^{\mathrm{b}}$.

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ This is whole farm profitability, calculated as Market returns (+ coupled payments) (+ decoupled payments) - whole-farm costs +/- changes in inventory +/- capital gains/losses. Whole-farm costs include Direct costs enterprises, overhead costs, paid labour, paid rents, paid interest, depreciation
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Net cash farm income $=$ Whole farm profitability + depreciation + changes in inventory + capital gains/losses.

